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Abstract
Due to discrepancies in the literature data the thermodynamic properties of liquid gallium are still in debate. Accurate measurements of adiabatic sound velocities as a function of pressure and temperature have been obtained by the combination of laser picosecond acoustics and surface imaging on sample loaded in diamond anvil cell. From these results the thermodynamic parameters of gallium have been extracted by a numerical procedure up to 10 GPa and 570 K. It is demonstrated that a Murnaghan equation of state accounts well for the whole data set since the isothermal bulk modulus $B_T$ has been shown to vary linearly with pressure in the whole temperature range. No evidence for a previously reported liquid–liquid transition has been found in the whole pressure and temperature range explored.
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1. Introduction
Currently, the existence of liquid–liquid phase transition (LLPT, i.e. the transition between two liquids with different local structure) is one of the most interesting topics in condensed matter physics [1]. This topic has become attractive since the discovery of a first-order LLPT in elemental phosphorus [2]. LLPT has also been reported in scarce and various compounds such as Ce [3] or Al$_2$O$_3$:Y$_2$O$_3$ [1, 4]. Several theoretical explanations have been proposed to explain LLPT on a microscopic frame or from a thermodynamic point of view. Many potentials have been used to reproduce LLPT in silico, including an anisotropic interaction pair potential (involved in covalent bonded fluids as water-like liquids) [5, 6] or an isotropic soft-core potential with an attractive well [7]. First-order LLPT has been conjectured to produce a downward kink in the melting curve [8]. A smooth maximum in the melting curve can also be explained by a continuous LLPT [8], as described by a two-liquid model [9]. This continuous transition may indicate the existence of a critical point expected in the metastable liquid [1].

In this context, liquid gallium is a promising candidate for having a LLPT, in view of its low melting temperature (302.9 K [10]) and its ability to be metastable in a large domain that goes down to 150 K [11]. In addition, liquid gallium shares some thermodynamic anomalies with water, known to have a first order transition between two amorphous phases [12]. Like water, the solid gallium floats over the liquid [10, 13] and the supercooled liquid possesses a maximum density line at negative pressures [14]. Simulations have predicted that LLPT exists in liquid gallium in the deep supercooled domain and at negative pressures [15–17]. Moreover, LLPT was experimentally detected in confined liquid gallium at temperature around 200 K [18]. Gradual transitions have also been reported by two experimental studies around 300 K and 2 GPa [19, 20] in the stable liquid. These transitions could be linked to a LLPT, but this hypothesis still needs experimental confirmation.
The coexistence of two different liquids in the stable liquid phase can be explained from a structural point of view. It has been suggested [9] that the short-range order in liquid is very similar to the underlying high pressure crystals, but their nature is still controversial. According to first-principles molecular dynamics, the gallium liquid phases should be linked to Ga-II and Ga-III structures [21]. However, the analysis of structural experimental data have shown that Ga-I and Ga-II are probably the structures involved [22], which shed some confusion on the existence, or not, of LLPT in Ga. Moreover the modifications of the local structure in liquid gallium under high pressure remains poorly understood despite numerous studies [23, 24], the complex character of liquid being due to a possible local anisotropy. Many simulations suggest a mixed covalent and metallic character in the liquid state due to the presence of short-lived Ga2 dimers [25–28]. These dimers can be considered as a remnant of the Ga-I solid phase since Ga-I is often considered as a quasi-molecular crystal phase [26], with a mix of covalent and metallic bonding. However the existence of the Ga2 dimers is still questioned [21–23].

The discussion on a possible LLPT in gallium is thus obfuscated and the lack of precision in the determination of the equation of state is one of the most important reasons. The density at high pressure has been obtained by various techniques [13, 20, 24, 29, 30] which exhibit large discrepancies. To clarify this fundamental point, the sound velocity is used in this work as it is known to be a sensitive probe to reveal an eventual phase transition [31]. In section 2 the experimental set-up is described [32]. Sound velocity is reported up to 10 GPa and 570 K in section 3. Then, the thermodynamic properties are determined from sound velocities measurements by a numerical procedure that has previously demonstrated its accuracy and robustness [32–34].

On the basis of these results the remaining question of LLPT in Ga is finally discussed in section 4.

2. Experimental set-up and data analysis

Picosecond acoustics technique is a pump-probe optical method which allows to measure acoustic properties of micrometric samples [32, 35–38]. The experimental set-up was previously described in details in the [32]. The pump and probe laser beams are obtained from the same Ti:sapphire laser with a 79.7 MHz repetition rate. The pump beam is modulated by an acousto-optic modulator and is focused on the surface of the sample. The probe beam is delayed with respect to the pump by a mechanical delay line. The sample surface is imaged through an objective mounted on a piezoelectric device which can scan up to a 100 × 100 μm² region. The pump light is absorbed by the sample and produces via the thermoelastic generation acoustics waves which are detected on the opposite side of the sample. The probe detects the variations of reflectivity which account for the variations in refractive index and surface displacements when the acoustic wave hits the surface. Lock-in measurements are performed to reveal the signal induced by the pump modulation.

A membrane diamond anvil cell (DAC) is used as the high pressure generator and is embedded in a resistive furnace to reach high temperatures. To measure both pressure and temperature SrB4O7:5%Sm2+ and ruby chips sensors are used in-situ. The pressure is determined by the shift of the SrB4O7:5%Sm2+ fluorescence line which is known to be temperature independent [39] with an accuracy of 0.1 GPa. Knowing the pressure, the temperature is determined through the power calibration of the furnace and cross checked to the shift of the ruby fluorescence line [40]. The relative uncertainty on the temperature is estimated to be around 1%. The maximum temperature is limited by our heating apparatus and the possible failure of the objectives closed to the heat source.

Gallium (of chemical purity 99.99%) from Sigma-Aldrich is used during the whole set of experiments. Gallium is known to corrode many materials, especially at high temperatures [41], rhenium is thus used as material gasket. This refractory metal is known to remain chemically inert with liquid gallium [42]. While exposed to atmosphere an oxide layer grown on the gallium liquid surface [43]. This oxide layer is removed in HCl 1M [44] and the ultra-pure Ga was then loaded under inert atmosphere inside a glove box. No differences were observed between this sample and the others. This demonstrates that the oxide layer do not disturb the generation and the propagation in the bulk as expected if the oxide layer is very thin compared to the size of the sample [45].

Measurements are carried out using two methods [32, 46]. In the temporal method pump and probe beams are static and collinear and the sample reflectivity is obtained versus the pump-probe time delay. An echo (mostly peak shaped) is observed when the acoustic waves reach the diamond/sample interface. The temporal method measures the transit time Δt of the acoustic pulse propagating through the sample. This method is very efficient and the transit time is obtained in few seconds with an accuracy around 0.1%. In the imagery method the probe beam scans the surface for a chosen pump-probe time delay (figure 1). In isotropic media the waveform appears as a ring when it reaches the surface. The evolution of the rings radius as a function of time [32, 46] shown in the figure 2 allows to measure independently the sound velocity v.
Figure 2. Integrated profiles as a function of time at 3.7 GPa and 348 K. The time scale, limited by the delay line, is extended by repetition of the same picture each \( T \) laser. The ripples in this picture highlight the growing of circular wavefronts on the surface of the sample. The evolution of the main wavefront radius is fitted with equation (A.3) (continuous line) while the evolution of the reflected wavefront is calculated (dotted line). The ripples growing linearly with time correspond to surface skimming bulk waves [32].

and the thickness \( e \). However this method is time consuming, about 3 h for one measurement. In this study, the sample thickness around 30 \( \mu m \) is chosen in order to balance between accuracy and absorption losses. Using this thickness the diffraction effects neglected in [32] are observable and must be taken into account. The formulas governing the evolution of the radius as a function of time \( R(t) \) are detailed in the appendix A. These two complementary methods have been here combined to measure the sound velocity. At each given temperature, measurements were performed by decreasing the pressure from the high pressure to the ambient pressure \( P_0 \).

A simple linear interpolation of the thickness obtained by the imagery method is used and provides a reliable estimate of the thickness variation as a function of pressure for the whole pressure and temperature range of the experiments since it has been shown that the thickness is weakly pressure dependent during pressure down-stroke (see figure 10 in [47]).

3. Results

3.1. Melting curve

The phase diagram of Ga in its stable phases [48–50] is shown in the figure 3. The sound velocity is a highly sensitive probe to detect the phase transitions, as recently demonstrated in the case of tin by Xu [31]. The temporal method allows to measure the transit time of the echo with a very good accuracy as shown in figure 4 for the liquid–solid transition at 3.3 GPa and 326 K. Present data (figure 3) agree with the melting curve measured by Jayaraman [48] at low pressure (1 \(<\) \( P \)< 4 GPa) and by Comez [50] at high \( P \) \((P>5 \text{ GPa})\). The melting curve at the liquid-Ga(III) transition can be fitted using a Simon–Glatzel equation [51, 52]

\[
T = T_t \left[ \frac{P - P_t}{a} + 1 \right]^\frac{1}{c}
\]  

(1)

where the fit parameters are \( a = 24 \text{ GPa} \) and \( c = 0.51 \). The parameters \( P_t = 3 \text{ GPa} \) and \( T_t = 316 \text{ K} \) correspond to the triple point liquid-Ga(II)-Ga(III) [48]. This new determination of the melting line at high temperature reduces the large uncertainty at the transition liquid-Ga(III) coexistence zone [50].

3.2. Sound velocity measurements

The adiabatic sound velocity \( v_S \) in liquid gallium as a function of pressure and for several isotherms is shown in figure 5. The variation of the sound velocity as a function of temperature is very weak so the isotherms are plotted separately for clarity. During the whole experiment, the thickness used in the different runs lay between 18 and 28 \( \mu m \).

At ambient conditions \( v_S \) is determined using the temporal method. Liquid gallium is placed between two sapphire plates.
3.3. Thermodynamic properties

The density as a function of pressure and temperature can be extracted from the sound velocity measurements using exact thermodynamic relations [33, 34]. The adiabatic sound velocity $v_\text{s}$ is related to the adiabatic compressibility $\beta_\text{s} = 1/\rho v_\text{s}^2$ and to the thermal compressibility $\beta_T$ by

$$\beta_T = \frac{1}{\rho} \left( \frac{\partial \rho}{\partial P} \right)_T = \beta_\text{s} + \frac{T}{\rho C_P} \beta_\text{P}, \quad (2)$$

where $C_P$ is the isobaric heat capacity per unit mass and $\alpha_P$ is the thermal expansion coefficient at constant pressure defined by

$$\alpha_P = -\frac{1}{\rho} \left( \frac{\partial \rho}{\partial T} \right)_P. \quad (3)$$

Moreover the isothermal derivative of $C_P$ with respect to $P$ can be written

$$\left( \frac{\partial C_P}{\partial P} \right)_T = -\frac{T}{\rho} \left( \left( \frac{\partial \alpha_P}{\partial T} \right)_P + \alpha_P \right). \quad (4)$$

Equations (2)–(4) are used into a recursive procedure adapted from [34] and previously described in detail elsewhere [32] in order to obtain the density, the thermal expansion and the specific heat as a function of pressure and temperature. In addition with $v_\text{s}(P, T)$, the knowledge of $\rho(P_0, T)$, $\alpha_P(P_0, T)$ and $C_P(P_0, T)$ (where $P_0$ notes the ambient pressure) are required. In this work the density $\rho(P_0, T)$ is taken from the polynomial formula given by Hoather [56]. The thermal expansion $\alpha_P(P_0, T)$ is deduced from $\rho(P_0, T)$ by the equation (3). The heat capacity $C_P(P_0, T)$ in J.kg$^{-1}$.K$^{-1}$ is obtained from the second order polynomial function given by Chen [57] (T in K): $C_P(P_0, T) = 496.6 - 0.37 T + 310^{-4} T^2$.

The uncertainties have been evaluated by the introduction of small perturbations in the three input quantities $v(P, T)$, $\rho(P_0, T)$ and $C_P(P_0, T)$. It is observed that the uncertainty increases linearly with $P$ due to the numerical scheme. In the following, the uncertainties are given at 10 GPa. An increase or a decrease of the sound velocity data by 20 m s$^{-1}$ leads to a variation of ±0.15% of the density and ±0.4% of the thermal expansion. The relative uncertainty in $\rho(P_0)$ [56] is roughly 2.6 $10^{-5}$ which produces relative variations of ±3 $10^{-5}$ for $\alpha_P$. According to Chen [57], the heat capacity $C_P(P_0, T)$ is known at ±1.4%. This error dominates the other sources of uncertainties. This leads to relative variations of ±1.5 $10^{-4}$ for $\rho$ and ±4 $10^{-4}$ for $\alpha_P$. All these different uncertainties are quadratically summed and the final maximal uncertainties associated with the absolute measurements of the different quantities at 10 GPa are around ±0.22% for the density, ±0.4% for the thermal expansion and ±1.4% for the heat capacity. Finally the knowledge of the equation of state and $\partial C_P/\partial T$ allows to determine the Gibbs energy function $G(P, T)$ and by derivation the thermodynamic properties of the fluid [58].

Table 1 summarizes the thermodynamic data obtained by this procedure.

In figure 6 the density is plotted as a function of pressure and temperature up to the melting line determined using equation (1). Our data are in excellent agreement with

![Figure 5. Sound velocity in liquid gallium as a function of pressure at various temperatures, obtained using the temporal (plusses) and the imagery (open squares) methods. The sound velocities at various temperatures, obtained using the temporal (plusses) and the imagery (open squares) methods. The sound velocities at various temperatures, obtained using the temporal (plusses) and the imagery (open squares) methods.](image-url)
Table 1. Thermodynamic properties of liquid Ga up to 573 K and 10 GPa.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>$T$ (K)</th>
<th>$P$ (GPa)</th>
<th>$\rho$ (kg m$^{-3}$)</th>
<th>$\alpha_P$ (10$^{-4}$ K$^{-1}$)</th>
<th>$\beta_P$ (10$^{-2}$ GPa$^{-1}$)</th>
<th>$B_S$ (GPa)</th>
<th>$\beta_T$ (10$^{-2}$ GPa$^{-1}$)</th>
<th>$B_T$ (GPa)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>293 0.4</td>
<td>6155</td>
<td>1.24</td>
<td>1.91</td>
<td>52.4</td>
<td>2.08</td>
<td>48.0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>293 0.8</td>
<td>6230</td>
<td>1.18</td>
<td>1.80</td>
<td>55.5</td>
<td>1.96</td>
<td>51.0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>323 0.0</td>
<td>6079</td>
<td>1.25</td>
<td>2.00</td>
<td>50.0</td>
<td>2.20</td>
<td>45.4</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>323 1.0</td>
<td>6208</td>
<td>1.16</td>
<td>1.82</td>
<td>55.1</td>
<td>1.98</td>
<td>50.4</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>323 2.0</td>
<td>6326</td>
<td>1.08</td>
<td>1.66</td>
<td>60.3</td>
<td>1.80</td>
<td>55.5</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>323 3.0</td>
<td>6436</td>
<td>1.02</td>
<td>1.53</td>
<td>65.5</td>
<td>1.65</td>
<td>60.6</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>373 0.0</td>
<td>6042</td>
<td>1.21</td>
<td>2.02</td>
<td>49.4</td>
<td>2.25</td>
<td>44.5</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>373 1.0</td>
<td>6173</td>
<td>1.12</td>
<td>1.84</td>
<td>54.5</td>
<td>2.02</td>
<td>49.4</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>373 2.0</td>
<td>6293</td>
<td>1.05</td>
<td>1.68</td>
<td>59.6</td>
<td>1.84</td>
<td>54.4</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>373 3.0</td>
<td>6404</td>
<td>0.99</td>
<td>1.54</td>
<td>64.8</td>
<td>1.68</td>
<td>59.5</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>373 4.0</td>
<td>6508</td>
<td>0.93</td>
<td>1.43</td>
<td>70.0</td>
<td>1.55</td>
<td>64.6</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>373 5.0</td>
<td>6606</td>
<td>0.88</td>
<td>1.33</td>
<td>75.3</td>
<td>1.43</td>
<td>69.7</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>423 0.0</td>
<td>6006</td>
<td>1.17</td>
<td>2.05</td>
<td>48.8</td>
<td>2.29</td>
<td>43.6</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>423 1.0</td>
<td>6138</td>
<td>1.09</td>
<td>1.86</td>
<td>53.9</td>
<td>2.06</td>
<td>48.5</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: The uncertainties are discussed in the text.
other values ($B_T(P_0) = 23.6(0.5)$ GPa at $300$ K and $B_T(P_0) = 24.6(0.4)$ GPa at $330$ K) presented by Li [20]. The value of $\beta_S$ at ambient temperature (see table 1) agrees well with the data from Inui [59] which are around $\beta_S = 2.0 \times 10^{-2}$ GPa$^{-1}$.

### 4. Discussion

Many empirical equations of state (EOS) have been previously proposed to represent the thermodynamic properties of solids [52]. However compared to solids few equations have been used for liquids, as the Tait EOS [60], or the Kumari-Dass EOS for liquid metals [61]. In a previous work [32] we have shown that the EOS for solids can be used for liquids and the Birch–Murnaghan EOS was successfully applied to liquid mercury [32].

Taken into account the accuracy of our numerical procedure, the pressure dependence of bulk modulus $B_T$ is found to be linear (see figure 7) and can be described up to 10 GPa as

$$B_T(P) = B_0 + B'_0P$$ (5)

where $B_0 = B_T(P_0)$ and $B'_0 = \frac{\partial B_T}{\partial P}(P_0)$. $B'_0$ is found experimentally nearly constant in the $P-T$ range studied with $B'_0 = 4.9 \pm 0.2$. This implies that the Murnaghan EOS [52]

$$\rho = \rho_0 \left[ 1 + \frac{B'_0}{B_0} P \right]^{1/B'_0}$$ (6)

is well adapted for the description of liquid Ga. It is straightforward to interpret the Murnaghan EOS as the result of a particular form of the interatomic potential [52] such as Mie potential $\Phi(r) = \frac{a}{r^n} - \frac{b}{r^m}$ with $n > m$ and $r = V^{1/3}$. In liquid metals, the attractive term is modulated by Friedel oscillations due to electron screening and can be written $\cos(2k_Fr)/r^3$, where $k_F$ is the Fermi radius sphere [62]. In this simple scheme, from the value of $B'_0 = \frac{1}{2}(m + n + 6)$ we can deduce the repulsive term $n = 5.7$. This soft repulsive core agrees well with the interatomic pair potential proposed by Tsai [23] which argues against the description of liquid gallium as a hard spheres liquid.

The Friedel oscillations induce an oscillatory behavior in the interatomic potential leading to the existence of many wells. This complex form of the potential can produce two characteristic interatomic lengths which can be involved in the existence of a LLPT. However at high pressure the repulsive term becomes dominant and the complex long-range attractive term becomes negligible.

Clues for a LLPT around 300 K and 2 GPa was reported in previous experimental studies. A gradual transition was detected by x-ray absorption spectroscopy at 295 K below 2 GPa by Poloni [19], possibly related to a change of the local structure. In the same $P-T$ range a transition was reported by x-ray microtomography technique by Li [20]. In this case an abnormal compressibility of the melt is detected by a variation of the slope in the relative volume variation (empty stars in figure 6). The smooth variations of the sound velocities observed in figure 5 advocate for the absence of phase transition or cross-over in this ($P, T$) range. If a transition occurs it cannot be differentiated with the normal liquid changes under high $P$ and $T$. Evidences from simulations [15–17] and experiments [18] claim the LLPT to be expected at low temperature in the deep metastable regime.

As a conclusion, accurate thermodynamic data were obtained in liquid gallium and compared with the literature data. In the $P-T$ domain up to 8 GPa and 540 K for stable liquid gallium no transition was detected in contradiction with recent claims. A liquid–liquid transition would rather be expected in the supercooled liquid regime around 200 K.
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Acoustic waves are generated at the sample surface by the thermoelastic conversion of the laser pump absorption [37]. These waves move through the sample as a wave packet with a broad frequency range up to THz. They finally hit the opposite side of the sample where they are detected through the intensity variations of the reflected beam probe. The mean frequency estimated in the probe signal can be easily extracted using the same formalism for the acoustic wave generation and propagation than the Gaussian optic formalism used for the laser pump itself (see for example [63]), we can extract the variation of curvature radius $C(z)$ of the acoustic wave during their propagation in the sample along the z-axis perpendicular to the sample surface (see figure A1(b))

$$C(z) = z \left[ 1 + \left( \frac{\pi R_z}{z} \right)^2 \right]^{-\frac{1}{2}}$$

where $z_R = \pi w_0^2/\lambda_{ac}$ is the acoustic Rayleigh length, depending on the mean acoustic wavelength $\lambda_{ac}$ and $w_0$ the laser waist which is half of the focus spot diameter.

Using equations (A.1)–(A.2) the diameter dependence $R$ of the rings observed in the probe signal can be easily extracted if it is noticed that the curvature of the acoustic wave is constant in the whole space for each time $t$ and can be identified to $C(z)$

$$R^2 = (z^2 - e^2) \left[ 1 + \frac{2z}{z + e} \left( \frac{\pi R_z}{z} \right)^2 \right].$$

Finally the substitution of $e = v \Delta t$ and $z = vt$ in equation (A.3) leads to the equation given in [32] plus a corrective term depending on $z_R$. The Rayleigh length $z_R$ depends on the geometry of the experiment. It can be treated as a free parameter in a numerical procedure fitting the experimental curve $R(t)$ since it is not obvious to precisely estimate the size of the focus spot and the acoustic wavelength. In this work we obtained an average value $z_R \approx 9 \mu m$, which is compatible with the experimental estimated values of $w_0 \approx 1.5–2.5 \mu m$ and $\lambda_{ac} \approx 1–3 \mu m$.
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